arXiv:1510.07133v1 [math.CT] 24 Oct 2015

Two-Fold Homotopy of 2-Crossed Module Maps of Commutative Algebras

João Faria Martins*
jn.martins@fct.unl.pt
Departamento de Matemática and Centro de Matemática e Aplicações,
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia (Universidade Nova de Lisboa),
Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal.

İ.İlker Akça
i.ilkerakca@gmail.com
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Turkey.

Kadir Emir†
k.emir@campus.fct.unl.pt
Departamento de Matemática,
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia (Universidade Nova de Lisboa),
Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal.
Also at: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Turkey.

November 28, 2015

Abstract

Continuing previous work, we address the homotopy theory of 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras. In particular, we define the concept of 2-fold homotopy between a pair of 1-fold homotopies connecting 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$. We also prove that if the domain 2-crossed module $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one then we have a 2-groupoid of 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ and their homotopies and 2-fold homotopies.

Keywords: Simplicial commutative algebra, crossed module of commutative algebras, 2-crossed module of commutative algebras, quadratic derivation.

2010 AMS Classification: 55U10 (principal), 18D05, 18D20, 55Q15 (secondary).

*JFM was partially supported by CMA/FCT/UNL, under the project UID/MAT/00297/2013 of FCT and by FCT(Portugal) through the "Geometry and Mathematical Physics Project", FCT EXCL/MAT-GEO/0222/2012.
†KE expresses his gratitude for the hospitality of CMA in 2014/15.

Introduction

Group crossed modules $\mathcal{G} = (\partial\colon E \to G, \triangleright)$, firstly introduced by Whitehead in [24], are given by a group map $\partial\colon E \to G$, together with an action $\triangleright$ of G on E, by automorphisms, such that the Peiffer-Whitehead relations, displayed below, hold:

First Peiffer-Whitehead Relation (for groups): $\partial(g \triangleright e) = g\,\partial(e)\,g^{-1}$,

Second Peiffer-Whitehead Relation (for groups): $\partial(e) \triangleright f = e\,f\,e^{-1}$,

for all $e, f \in E$ and $g \in G$. Crossed modules are well known to model pointed homotopy 2-types, an old result of Mac Lane and Whitehead; see [19]. Here an n-type is a path connected pointed space X such that $\pi_i(X) = 0$, if $i > n$ (n a positive integer).

Simplicial groups $G = (G_n, d_n^i, s_n^i)$ are known to model pointed homotopy types; [22]. Here all G_n, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are groups, and $d_n^i\colon G_n \to G_{n-1}$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, and $s_n^i\colon G_n \to G_{n+1}$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, are group maps, respectively called boundaries and degeneracies. These satisfy the well known simplicial identities. The Moore complex

$$N(G) = \Big(\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{(n+1)}} N(G)_n \xrightarrow{d_n} N(G)_{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{d_{(n-1)}} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_3} G_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} G_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} G_0 \Big)$$

of the simplicial group G has the group $N(G)_n = \cap_{i=0}^{n-1} \ker(\partial_n^i) \subset G_n$ at level n and the boundary $d_n\colon N(G)_n \to N(G)_{n-1}$ is the restriction of $d_n^n\colon G_n \to G_{(n-1)}$. We say that the Moore complex of G has length n if $N(G)_i$ is the trivial group for $i > n$. A classical result is that category of crossed modules of groups is equivalent to the category of simplicial groups with Moore complex of length one; see [11].

An equally well established result is that the homotopy category of n-types is equivalent to the homotopy category of simplicial groups with Moore complex of length $n-1$, also called algebraic models for n-types. The category of n-types has a natural Quillen model structure [14], and so has the category of crossed modules [7, 20], and more generally the category of algebraic models for n-types; see [10]. The homotopy categories of the category of n-types and of the category of algebraic models for n-types are equivalent.

The category of algebraic models 3-types is the category of simplicial groups with Moore complex of length two. This category is equivalent to the category of 2-crossed modules of groups. Here a 2-crossed module of groups $\mathcal{A} = (L \xrightarrow{\delta} E \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{,\})$ is given by a complex of groups, together with actions $\triangleright$ of G on L and E, by automorphisms, making it a complex of G-modules, where G acts on itself by conjugation. The first Peiffer-Whitehead relation for the map $\partial\colon E \to G$ and the action $\triangleright$ of G on E is thus satisfied. We also have a map $\{,\}\colon E \times E \to L$, called the Peiffer lifting, measuring how far the second Peiffer-Whitehead relation is from being satisfied: $\delta(\{e, f\}) = \left(efe^{-1}\right)\left(\partial(e) \triangleright f^{-1}\right)$, for each $e, f \in E$. This lifting must satisfy a number of very natural properties. The functor from the category of algebraic models of three types to the category of 2-crossed modules establishing the equivalence of categories is such that the underlying complex of a 2-crossed module coincides with the end two arrows of the Moore complex of the simplicial group associated to it.

All algebras in this paper will be commutative. Crossed modules and 2-crossed modules of algebras [13, 21] are defined in the same way as in the group case, essentially switching actions by automorphisms to actions by multipliers, where a multiplier in an algebra R is a linear map $f\colon R \to R$ such that $f(ab) = f(a)b$, for each $a, b \in R$. A 2-crossed module of algebras $\mathcal{A} = (L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, \blacktriangleright, \{,\})$ has an underlying complex of algebras and some other data: we have actions $\blacktriangleright$ by multipliers of R on E and L and a map $\{,\}\colon E \times E \to L$ measuring how far $(\partial_1\colon E \to R \blacktriangleright)$ is from being a crossed module of algebras. Therefore $\partial_2(\{e, f\}) = ef - \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright f$ for all $e, f \in E$.

It is proven in [2, 18, 21] that the categories of crossed modules and of 2-crossed modules of algebras are equivalent to the categories of simplicial algebras with Moore complex of length one and two (respectively).

A 2-crossed module $\mathcal{A} = (L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, \blacktriangleright, \{,\})$ is called free up to order one if the algebra R is free. We will normally consider a particular free basis $B \subset R$, and therefore R can be seen as the algebra of commutative polynomials over the underlying field, with a formal variable assigned to each element of B.

If $\mathcal{A} = (L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, \blacktriangleright, \{,\})$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L' \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E' \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R', \blacktriangleright, \{,\})$ are 2-crossed modules of algebras, then 2-crossed module maps $f\colon A \to A'$ are defined in the obvious way. The homotopy relation between maps of 2-crossed modules of algebras was defined in [1], following previous constructions (done in the case of 2-crossed modules of groups and Gray categories) in [6, 12, 15, 16, 17], in the latter case in the restricted context of quadratic modules, a particular case of 2-crossed modules. Note that the homotopy relation between group crossed module maps $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ was introduced by Whitehead in [25], in the framework of "homotopy systems", which are these days called free crossed complexes. In [8, 9], the homotopy relation for crossed modules of groups is discussed in terms of a monoidal closed structure on crossed complexes, which generalise crossed modules.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, \blacktriangleright, \{,\})$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L' \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E' \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R', \blacktriangleright, \{,\})$ be 2-crossed modules of algebras. Consider two maps $f, g\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$. Naturally, a homotopy $f \to g$ is given by a pair of set maps $\big(s\colon R \to E', t\colon E \to L'\big)$, satisfying further relations (strongly depending on f and therefore on g), defining what we called a quadratic f-derivation $(s,t)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$. As in the group case, the homotopy relation between 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is only an equivalence relation, in general, in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one. (Presumably, retracts of free up to order one 2-crossed modules will be the cofibrant objects of a model category structure in the category of 2-crossed modules of algebras, which is yet to be discovered. This will be different from the model structure naturally derived from the category of simplicial sets, which renders a 2-crossed module of algebras cofibrant if and only if is a retract of a 2-crossed module which is free up to order two; [1, 17].)

It is proven in [1] that if a chosen basis is given for the free algebra R, then we can define a groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$, whose objects are the 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, the morphisms being the homotopies (also called 1-fold homotopies) between them (will review here the most important issues of this construction, which are essential for understanding the main aspects of this article). In this paper we go one step further, and consider 2-fold homotopy. This 2-fold homotopy relation is a relation connecting homotopies of 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$. A 2-fold homotopy is given by a linear map $q\colon R \to L'$, satisfying further natural properties, defining what we called a quadratic-2-derivation. The main result of this paper is that if $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one, with a chosen basis for R, then we have a 2-groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_2$ of 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, their homotopies, and 2-fold homotopies connecting 2-crossed module homotopies.

The proof of this result requires quite a few complicated calculations. As in [1], mostly all difficult calculation are done while defining the algebras of 1, 2 and 3-simplices in a 2-crossed module $\mathcal{A}$, which are very important constructions on their own. All of the compositions in the 2-groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_2$ will in one way or another be derived from the boundaries of these geometrically constructed algebras.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Pre-crossed modules and crossed modules (of algebras)

In this paper we fix a commutative ring κ. All algebras considered will be associative and commutative. If M and R are κ-algebras, a bilinear map $(r, m) \in R \times M \longmapsto r \blacktriangleright m \in M$ is called an action of R on M if for all $m, m' \in M$ and $r, r' \in R$:

A1. $r \blacktriangleright (mm') = (r \blacktriangleright m)m' = m(r \blacktriangleright m')$,

A2. $(rr') \blacktriangleright m = r \blacktriangleright (r' \blacktriangleright m)$.

Definition 1.1 (Pre-crossed modules and crossed modules) *A pre-crossed module (E, R, ∂), of (commutative) algebras, is given by an algebra map $\partial\colon E \to R$, with E and R commutative, together with an action $\blacktriangleright$ of R on E, such that the following relation, called the "first Peiffer-Whitehead relation", holds:*

XM1) $\partial(r \blacktriangleright e) = r\,\partial(e)$, *for each $e \in E$ and $r \in R$.*

A crossed module of algebras (E, R, ∂) is a pre-crossed module satisfying, furthermore, the "second Peiffer-Whitehead relation":

XM2) $\partial(e) \blacktriangleright e' = e\,e'$, *for all $e, e' \in E$.*

Example 1.2 *Let R be an algebra and $E \trianglelefteq R$ an ideal of R. Then (E, R, i), where $i\colon E \to R$ is the inclusion map, is a crossed module, where we use the multiplication in R to define the action of R on E. Explicitely: $(r, e) \in R \times E \longmapsto r \blacktriangleright e = re \in E$.*

Definition 1.3 *Let (E, R, ∂) and (E', R', ∂') be two crossed modules. A crossed module morphism $f\colon (E, R, \partial) \to (E', R', \partial')$ is a pair $f = (f_1\colon E \to E', f_0\colon R \to R')$ of algebra maps, preserving the action of R on E, in the sense that $f_1(r \blacktriangleright e) = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright f_1(e), \forall e \in E, \forall r \in R$, furthermore making the diagram below commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\partial} & R \\ {\scriptstyle f_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_0} \\ E' & \xrightarrow[\partial']{} & R' \end{array} .$$

1.2 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras

We follow the conventions of [2, 3, 4, 5].

Definition 1.4 (2-crossed module) *A 2-crossed module $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$, of commutative algebras, is given by a chain complex of algebras $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$, together with actions $\blacktriangleright$ of R on E and L, such that ∂_1 and ∂_2 are module maps, where R acts on itself by conjugation. We also have an R-bilinear function (the Peiffer lifting):*

$$\{\ \otimes\ \} : E \otimes_R E \longrightarrow L,$$

satisfying the following axioms, for all $l, l' \in L,\ e, e', e'' \in E$, and $r \in R$:

2XM1) $\partial_2\{e \otimes e'\} = ee' - \partial_1(e') \blacktriangleright e$

2XM2) $\{\partial_2(l) \otimes \partial_2(l')\} = ll'$

2XM3) $\{e \otimes e'e''\} = \{ee' \otimes e''\} + \partial_1(e'') \blacktriangleright \{e \otimes e'\}$

2XM4) $\{\partial_2(l) \otimes e\} = e \blacktriangleright' l - \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l$

2XM5) $\{e \otimes \partial_2(l)\} = e \blacktriangleright' l$

2XM6) $r \blacktriangleright \{e \otimes e'\} = \{r \blacktriangleright e \otimes e'\} = \{e \otimes r \blacktriangleright e'\}$

Remark 1.5 *Note that $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E$ is a crossed module, with the action of E on L being:*

$$e \blacktriangleright' l = \{e \otimes \partial_2(l)\} \tag{1}$$

However $E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ is, generally, solely a pre-crossed module. The ∂_2-image of the Peiffer lifting in E measures exactly the failure of $E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ to be a crossed module.

Example 1.6 *Let (E, R, ∂) be a pre-crossed module. Then $\ker(\partial) \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\partial} R$, where $i\colon \ker(\partial) \to E$ is the inclusion map, is a 2-crossed module with the Peiffer lifting,*

$$\{\ \otimes\ \}(e, e') \in E \otimes_R E \longmapsto \{e \otimes e'\} \doteq ee' - \partial(e)e' \in \ker(\partial).$$

Definition 1.7 (Freeness up to order one) *Let $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ be a 2-crossed module. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one if R is a free κ-algebra. In this paper, free up to order one 2-crossed modules will always come equipped with a specified chosen basis B of R. Therefore R will be the algebra $\kappa[B]$ of polynomials over κ, with a formal variable assigned to each element of B.*

Definition 1.8 (2-crossed module map) *For 2-crossed modules $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L', E', R', \partial_1', \partial_2')$, a 2-crossed module map $f = (f_2, f_1, f_0)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is given by three algebra maps $f_0\colon R \to R'$, $f_1\colon E \to E'$ and $f_2\colon L \to L'$, making the diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_0} \\ L' & \xrightarrow[\partial_2']{} & E' & \xrightarrow[\partial_1']{} & R' \end{array}$$

commutative and also preserving the actions of R and R' as well as the Peiffer liftings:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(r \blacktriangleright e) &= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright f_1(e), \text{ for all } e \in E \text{ and } r \in R, \\ f_2(r \blacktriangleright l) &= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright f_2(l), \text{ for all } l \in L \text{ and } r \in R, \\ f_2\{e \otimes e'\} &= \{f_1(e) \otimes f_1(e')\}, \text{ for all } e, e' \in E. \end{aligned}$$

1.3 The algebras of 0-, 1-, 2- and 3-simplices on a 2-crossed module

We now follow [1] closely, referring to it for calculations. Fix a 2-crossed module $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ of algebras. Given an action $\blacktriangleright$ of an algebra R on an algebra E, this is our convention for the semidirect product $R \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E$ (a commutative algebra):

$$(r, e)\,(r', e') = (rr', r \blacktriangleright e' + r' \blacktriangleright e + ee'), \text{ for all } e, e' \in E \text{ and } r, r' \in R. \tag{2}$$

To begin introducing our notation, let us put $\mathcal{A}_0 = R$ and call it the "algebra of 0-simplices" in $\mathcal{A}$. Analogously, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 \doteq R \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E$ is called the "algebra of 1-simplices" in $\mathcal{A}$. We express each element $(r, e) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ in the simplicial form, below:

$$r \xrightarrow{\quad e \quad} (r + \partial_1(e))\ . \tag{3}$$

Note that restricting to the vertices yields two algebra maps $\mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_0$. Namely:

$$\left(r \xrightarrow{\ e\ } (r + \partial_1(e)) \right) \mapsto r \qquad\qquad \left(r \xrightarrow{\ e\ } (r + \partial_1(e)) \right) \mapsto r + \partial_1(e).$$

The following lemma was proved in [1], following a previous construction of [17].

Lemma 1.9 *There exists an action $\blacktriangleright_\bullet$ of $(R \ltimes_\blacktriangleright E)$ on $(E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L)$, having the form:*

$$(r, e) \blacktriangleright_\bullet (e', l) = (ee' + r \blacktriangleright e', \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l + r \blacktriangleright l - \{e' \otimes e\}), \tag{4}$$

for all $l \in L$, $e, e' \in E$ and $r \in R$.

Using the action $\blacktriangleright_\bullet$, we construct the following commutative algebra:

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \doteq (R \ltimes_\blacktriangleright E) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_\bullet} (E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L). \tag{5}$$

called the "algebra of 2-simplices" in $\mathcal{A}$. We express any element $(r, e, e', l) \in A_2$ as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & r + \partial_1(e) + \partial_1(e') \\ & \overset{e+e'}{\nearrow} & \boxed{l} \uparrow e' + \partial_2(l) \\ r & \xrightarrow[e]{} & r + \partial_1(e) \end{array} \tag{6}$$

We will not need the explicit form of the product in the triangle space. Note however the following essential fact, proved in [1]:

Lemma 1.10 *The maps $d_i \colon \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_1$, where $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ that to each element of $\mathcal{A}_2$ - represented by the triangle in (6) - associate the i^{th} edge of it are all algebra maps.*

In order to define the algebra of 3-simplices, we need additional algebra actions:

Lemma 1.11 *There exists an action $\blacktriangleright_*$ of $E \ltimes L$ on L, with (here $l, l' \in L$, $e \in E$):*

$$(e, l) \blacktriangleright_* l' = e \blacktriangleright' l' + ll'.$$

By using the action $\blacktriangleright_*$ we can construct the semidirect product $(E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L$.

Lemma 1.12 *There exist actions of E and R on $((E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L)$, with the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} e \blacktriangleright^1_e (e', l, l') &= (ee', \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l - \{e' \otimes e\}, \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l'), \\ r \blacktriangleright^1_r (e', l, l') &= (r \blacktriangleright e', r \blacktriangleright l, r \blacktriangleright l'). \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows that we have an action $\blacktriangleright^1$ of $(R \ltimes_\blacktriangleright E)$ on $((E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L)$, with:

$$(r, e) \blacktriangleright^1 (e', l, l') = (r \blacktriangleright e' + ee', r \blacktriangleright l + \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l - \{e' \otimes e\}, r \blacktriangleright l' + \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l').$$

Yet, for our construction, we need another pair of actions:

Lemma 1.13 *There exists an action of E and L on $((E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L)$, with:*

$$\begin{aligned} e \blacktriangleright^2_e (e', l, l') &= (ee', e \blacktriangleright' l, \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l' - \{\partial_2(l) + e' \otimes e\}), \\ k \blacktriangleright^2_l (e', l, l') &= (0, e' \blacktriangleright' k + kl, -\{\partial_2(l) + e' \otimes \partial_2(k)\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that; there exists an action $\blacktriangleright^2$ of $(E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L)$ on $((E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L)$, with:

$$(e, l'') \blacktriangleright^2 (e', l, l') = (ee', e \blacktriangleright' l + e' \blacktriangleright' l'' + l''l, \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l' - \{\partial_2(l) + e' \otimes \partial_2(l'') + e\}).$$

And finally, one can prove that:

Lemma 1.14 *There exists an action* $\blacktriangleright_\dagger$ *of* $((R \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_\bullet} (E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L))$ *on* $((E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L)$*, such that:*

$$(0,0,e,l'') \blacktriangleright_\dagger (e',l,l') = (e,l'') \blacktriangleright^2 (e',l,l'),$$
$$(r,e,0,0) \blacktriangleright_\dagger (e',l,l') = (r,e) \blacktriangleright^1 (e',l,l').$$

We now define the "algebra of 3-simplices" in $\mathcal{A}$ as being the semidirect product:

$$\mathcal{A}_3 \doteq \big((R \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_\bullet} (E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L)\big) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_\dagger} \big((E \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L) \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright_*} L\big). \tag{7}$$

We express the elements $(r,e,e',l,e'',l',l'') \in \mathcal{A}_3$ in the following simplicial form:



(8)

As in the case of the algebra of 2-simplices, we retain that (for a proof see [1]):

Lemma 1.15 *The maps* $d_i \colon \mathcal{A}_3 \to \mathcal{A}_2$*, where* $i = 0,1,2,4$*, that to each element of* $\mathcal{A}_3$ *- represented by the tetrahedron, in* (8) *- associate the* i^{th} *face of it are all algebra maps. Here the back face of the tetrahedron in* (8) *is:*



.

1.4 Homotopy of 2-crossed module maps

We continue to follow [1], where the reader can find the missing proofs. Let us fix two 2-crossed modules $\mathcal{A} = (L,E,R,\partial_1,\partial_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L',E',R',\partial_1',\partial_2')$.

Definition 1.16 *Let* $f = \big(f_2 \colon L \to L', f_1 \colon E \to E', f_0 \colon E \to E'\big) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ *be a 2-crossed module map. A quadratic* f*-derivation is a pair* (s,t)*, where* $s \colon R \to E'$*,* $t \colon E \to L'$ *are linear maps, satisfying the following, for all* $r,r' \in R$*,* $e,e' \in E$*:*

$$s(rr') = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright s(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright s(r) + s(r)s(r'), \tag{9}$$
$$t(ee') = \{(s \circ \partial_1)(e) \otimes f_1(e')\} + \{(s \circ \partial_1)(e') \otimes f_1(e)\} + f_1(e) \blacktriangleright' t(e') \tag{10}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad + f_1(e') \blacktriangleright' t(e) + (s\circ\partial_1)(e) \blacktriangleright' t(e') + (s\circ\partial_1)(e') \blacktriangleright' t(e) + t(e)t(e'),\\
t(r \blacktriangleright e) &= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright t(e) + (\partial'_1\circ s)(r) \blacktriangleright t(e) + \{s(r)\otimes f_1(e)\}\\
&\quad - \{f_1(e)\otimes s(r)\} - \{(s\circ\partial_1)(e)\otimes s(r)\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

Remark 1.17 *A map $s\colon R\to E'$ satisfying* (9) *will be called an f_0-derivation.*

Theorem 1.18 (Homotopy of 2-crossed module maps) *Let $f=(f_2,f_1,f_0)$ be a 2-crossed module map $\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$. If (s,t) is a quadratic f-derivation, and if we define $g=(g_2,g_1,g_0)$ as below (where $r\in R,\ e\in E$ and $l\in L$):*

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_0(r) &= f_0(r) + (\partial'_1\circ s)(r),\\
g_1(e) &= f_1(e) + (s\circ\partial_1)(e) + (\partial'_2\circ t)(e),\\
g_2(l) &= f_2(l) + (t\circ\partial_2)(l),
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
$$

then g also defines a 2-crossed module map $\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$. In such case, we use the notation:

$$
f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g, \tag{13}
$$

and say that (f,s,t) is a homotopy (or quadratic derivation), connecting f to g.

Example 1.19 *Let $f\colon\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ be a 2-crossed module map. Clearly the pair $(0_s,0_t)$, each component being zero map, is a quadratic f-derivation connecting f to f.*

Homotopies of 2-crossed modules maps $\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ normally cannot be composed. Therefore 2-crossed module homotopy is in general not an equivalence relation between 2-crossed module maps. We will not have a groupoid of maps $\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ and their homotopies, unless additional conditions are imposed on $\mathcal{A}$. For details see [1, 17].

Therefore, from now on we impose that $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one, with a chosen basis B of R. Thus R is a polynomial algebra, with a formal variable assigned to each element of B; Definition 1.7. Presumably, free up to order one 2-crossed modules will be the cofibrant objects of a (yet to be discovered) model category structure in the category of 2-crossed modules of algebras.

The main reason to work in the free up to order one context is Lemma 1.21, below. This in turn follows from the following lemma, which has an immediate proof:

Lemma 1.20 *If $f=(f_2,f_1,f_0)\colon\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ is a 2-crossed modules map, then, clearly, f_0-derivations are in one-to-one correspondence with algebra maps, of the form:*

$$
\phi : r\in R \longmapsto \big(f_0(r), s(r)\big) \in R' \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E' \doteq \mathcal{A}'_1,\ \text{where } r\in R. \tag{14}
$$

Lemma 1.21 *In particular, if R is a free κ-algebra, over the set B, an f_0-derivation $s : R\to E'$ can be specified (and uniquely) by its value on $B\subset R$. Therefore, a set map $s^\star\colon B\to E'$ uniquely extends to an f_0-derivation s; see the diagram below:*



(15)

1.4.1 Concatenation of homotopies

Consider 2-crossed module maps $f, g, h\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, with $\mathcal{A}$ free up to order one. Put $f = (f_2, f_1, f_0)$, $g = (g_2, g_1, g_0)$ and $h = (h_2, h_1, h_0)$. Let (s, t) be a quadratic f-derivation connecting f to g, and (s', t') be a quadratic g-derivation connecting g to h. Let us define the concatenation $(s, t) \boxplus (s', t') = (s \boxplus s', t \boxplus t')$, connecting f to h.

Definition 1.22 *Let $s \boxplus s'\colon R \to E'$ be the unique f_0-derivation extending the restriction of the (set) map $(s + s')\colon R \to E$ to B. Therefore $(s \boxplus s')_{|B} = (s + s')_{|B}$.*

By definition of free algebra (on the set B), there exists a unique algebra map:

$$X^{(s,s')}\colon R \to \mathcal{A}'_2$$

of the form below (for some uniquely defined linear map $w^{(s,s')}\colon R \to L'$):

$X^{(s,s')}(r) \quad =$



(16)

Therefore, $X^{(s,s')}$ is the unique extension (to a group map $R \to \mathcal{A}'_2$) of the set map:

$r \in B \mapsto$



.

We are using Lemma 1.10. By using the multiplication rules in the triangle space $\mathcal{A}'_2$ of $\mathcal{A}'$, we can see that the function $w^{(s,s')}\colon R \to L'$ is such that, for all $r, r' \in R$:

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(s,s')}(rr') = {} & f_0(r) \blacktriangleright w^{(s,s')}(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright w^{(s,s')}(r) + s'(r') \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,s')}(r) \\ & + s(r') \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,s')}(r) + s'(r) \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,s')}(r') + s(r) \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,s')}(r') \\ & - \{s'(r') \otimes s(r)\} - \{s'(r) \otimes s(r')\} - w^{(s,s')}(r) w^{(s,s')}(r'). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that $w^{(s,s')}$ measures the distance between $(s \boxplus s')(r)$ and $(s + s')(r)$, as:

$$(s \boxplus s')(r) = s(r) + s'(r) - (\partial'_2 \circ w^{(s,s')})(r), \qquad \text{for all } r \in R. \tag{18}$$

Clearly $w^{(s,s')}(r)$ vanishes for $r \in B$. Also, If $s = 0$ or $s' = 0$ then $w^{(s,s')} = 0$.

We now define $t \boxplus t'$, finishing the construction of $(s, t) \boxplus (s', t')$; see [1] for a proof.

Theorem 1.23 (Concatenation of homotopies) *Let $f, g, h\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be 2-crossed module maps. Consider homotopies $f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g$ and $g \xrightarrow{(g,s',t')} h$. Let us put:*

$$(t \boxplus t')(e) = t(e) + t'(e) + (w^{(s,s')} \circ \partial_1)(e). \tag{19}$$

The pair $(s \boxplus s', t \boxplus t')$ is a quadratic f-derivation which, moreover, connects f to h.

1.4.2 Groupoid inverses of homotopies

Let $f = (f_2, f_1, f_0)$ and $g = (g_2, g_1, g_0)$ be 2-crossed module morphisms $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$. Let (s, t) be a quadratic f-derivation connecting f to g. We take $\mathcal{A}$ to be free up to order one, with a chosen basis B of R. We can define a quadratic g-derivation $(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$, connecting g to f, a groupoid inverse of (s, t). For a proof of the following see [1].

Theorem 1.24 *Let $\bar{s}\colon R \to E$ be the unique g_0-derivation (Lemma 1.21) extending the restriction of the function $-s$ to B. And also put $\bar{t} = -t - (w^{(s,\bar{s})} \circ \partial_1)$. Then $(\bar{s}, \bar{t})$ is a quadratic g-derivation connecting g to f. Morever it is a left and right inverse of (s, t) with respect to the operation of concatenation of homotopies.*

1.4.3 Associativity of the composition of homotopies

Let $f, g, h, k\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be 2-crossed module maps, with $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one. Let:

- (s, t) be a quadratic f-derivation connecting f to g: that is $f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g$,
- (s', t') be a quadratic g-derivation connecting g to h: that is $g \xrightarrow{(g,s',t')} h$,
- (s'', t'') be a quadratic h-derivation connecting h to k: that is $h \xrightarrow{(h,s'',t'')} k$.

If we choose an element $b \in B$, the free basis of R, we clearly have $(s \boxplus (s' \boxplus s''))(b) = ((s \boxplus s') \boxplus s'')(b)$.

Therefore, from Lemma 2.5, we can conclude that, for all $r \in R$:

$$(s \boxplus (s' \boxplus s''))(r) = ((s \boxplus s') \boxplus s'')(r).$$

We can also prove that $(t \boxplus (t' \boxplus t''))(e) = ((t \boxplus s') \boxplus t'')(e)$, if $e \in E$. This follows directly from the theorem below. This itself is a consequence of a calculation involving the algebra of 3-simplices in $\mathcal{A}'$; see [1].

Theorem 1.25 *Suppose that s, s' and s'' are the derivations defined as above. Then:*

$$w^{(s,s')}(r) + w^{(s \boxplus s', s'')}(r) = w^{(s, s' \boxplus s'')}(r) + w^{(s', s'')}(r). \tag{20}$$

Finally, the main result of this section. Note that compositions and inverses in the groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ explicitly depend on the chosen free algebra basis B of R.

Theorem 1.26 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be 2-crossed modules, of commutative algebras. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ is free up to order one, with a chosen free basis B of R. We have a groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$, whose objects are the 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, the morphisms being the homotopies between them.*

2 Quadratic 2-derivations and 2-fold homotopy

In this entire section, we fix two arbitrary 2-crossed modules $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L', E', R', \partial_1', \partial_2')$. Later on we will suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one.

Definition 2.1 (Quadratic 2-derivation) *Let $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be a 2-crossed module map and (s, t) be a quadratic f-derivation. A quadratic (f, s, t)-2-derivation $q\colon R \to L'$ is a κ-linear function satisfying, for all $r, r' \in R$:*

$$q(rr') = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r'). \tag{21}$$

Theorem 2.2 (2-Fold Homotopy) *Let (s,t) be a quadratic f-derivation connecting the 2-crossed module maps $f,g\colon \mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$, namely $f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g$. If q is a quadratic (f,s,t)-2-derivation, and if we define (s',t') as (where $e\in E$ and $r\in R$):*

$$\begin{aligned} s'(r) &= s(r) + (\partial_2' \circ q)(r) \\ t'(e) &= t(e) - (q\circ\partial_1)(e), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

then the tuple (s',t') is also a quadratic f-derivation. Moreover we have $f \xrightarrow{(f,s',t')} g$. In this case, we use the notation:

$$(f,s,t) \overset{(f,s,t,q)}{\Longrightarrow} (f,s',t')$$

and say that the quadruple (f,s,t,q) is a 2-fold homotopy (or quadratic 2-derivation) connecting the homotopy (f,s,t) to (f,s',t'). All of this data can be pictured as:



***Proof*:** To make the formulae more compact, in this proof (and others), we do not use the "$\circ$" to denote composition, and put $\{e,f\}$, instead of $\{e\otimes f\}$, for Peiffer liftings. Let us first see that the conditions of Definition 1.16 are safisfied. For all $e,e'\in E$ and $r,r'\in R$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} s'(rr') &= s(rr') + (\partial_2' q)(rr') = f_0(r)\blacktriangleright s(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright s(r) + s(r)s(r') + \partial_2'[q(rr')] \\ &= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright s(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright s(r) + s(r)s(r') + \partial_2'[f_0(r)\blacktriangleright q(r') \\ &\quad + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r)\blacktriangleright q(r') + s(r')\blacktriangleright q(r) + q(r)q(r')] \\ &= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright s(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright s(r) + s(r)s(r') + \partial_2'(f_0(r)\blacktriangleright q(r')) \\ &\quad + \partial_2'(f_0(r')\blacktriangleright q(r)) + \partial_2'(s(r)\blacktriangleright q(r')) + \partial_2'(s(r')\blacktriangleright q(r)) + \partial_2'(q(r)q(r')) \\ &= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright s(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright s(r) + s(r)s(r') + f_0(r)\blacktriangleright(\partial_2' q)(r') \\ &\quad + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright(\partial_2' q)(r) + s(r)(\partial_2' q)(r') + s(r')\partial_2' q)(r) + (\partial_2' q)(r)(\partial_2' q)(r') \\ &= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright[s(r') + (\partial_2' q)(r')] + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright[s(r) + (\partial_2' q)(r)] \\ &\quad + [s(r) + (\partial_2' q)(r)][s(r') + (\partial_2' q)(r')] \\ &= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright s'(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright s'(r) + s'(r)s'(r'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus s' is an f_0-derivation. We used the fact that: $\partial_2'(r\blacktriangleright l) = r\blacktriangleright\partial_2'(l)$. Also:

$$\begin{aligned} t'(ee') &= t(ee') - (q\partial_1)(ee') = t(ee') - q(\partial_1(e)\partial_1(e')) \\ &= \{(s\partial_1)(e), f_1(e')\} + \{(s\partial_1)(e'), f_1(e)\} + f_1(e)\blacktriangleright' t(e') + f_1(e')\blacktriangleright' t(e) \\ &\quad + (s\partial_1)(e)\blacktriangleright' t(e') + (s\partial_1)(e')\blacktriangleright' t(e) + t(e)t(e') - [f_0(\partial_1(e))\blacktriangleright q(\partial_1(e')) \\ &\quad + f_0(\partial_1(e'))\blacktriangleright q(\partial_1(e)) + s(\partial_1(e))\blacktriangleright' q(\partial_1(e')) + s(\partial_1(e'))\blacktriangleright' q(\partial_1(e)) \\ &\quad + q(\partial_1(e))q(\partial_1(e'))] \\ &= \{s(\partial_1(e)), f_1(e')\} + \{(\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e)), f_1(e')\} + \{s(\partial_1(e')), f_1(e)\} \\ &\quad + \{(\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e')), f_1(e)\} + f_1(e)\blacktriangleright' t(e') - f_1(e)\blacktriangleright'(q\partial_1)(e') \\ &\quad + f_1(e')\blacktriangleright' t(e) - f_1(e')\blacktriangleright'(q\partial_1)(e) + (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e))\blacktriangleright' t(e') \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ s(\partial_1(e)) \blacktriangleright' t(e') - s(\partial_1(e)) \blacktriangleright' (q\partial_1)(e') - (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e)) \blacktriangleright' (q\partial_1)(e') \\
&+ s(\partial_1(e')) \blacktriangleright' t(e) - s(\partial_1(e')) \blacktriangleright' (q\partial_1)(e) + (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e')) \blacktriangleright' t(e) \\
&- (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e')) \blacktriangleright' (q\partial_1)(e) + t(e)t(e') - t(e)(q\partial_1)(e') \\
&- (q\partial_1)(e)t(e') + (q\partial_1)(e)(q\partial_1)(e') \\
= &\{s(\partial_1(e)) + (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e)), f_1(e')\} + \{s(\partial_1(e')) + (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e')), f_1(e)\} \\
&+ f_1(e) \blacktriangleright' [t(e') - (q\partial_1)(e')] + f_1(e') \blacktriangleright' [t(e) - (q\partial_1)(e)] \\
&+ [s(\partial_1(e)) + (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e))] \blacktriangleright' [t(e') - (q\partial_1)(e')] \\
&+ [s(\partial_1(e')) + (\partial_2' q)(\partial_1(e'))] \blacktriangleright' [t(e) - (q\partial_1)(e)] \\
&+ [t(e) - (q\partial_1)(e)][t(e') - (q\partial_1)(e')] \\
= &\{(s'\partial_1)(e), f_1(e')\} + \{(s'\partial_1)(e'), f_1(e)\} + f_1(e) \blacktriangleright' t'(e') + f_1(e') \blacktriangleright' t'(e) \\
&+ (s'\partial_1)(e) \blacktriangleright' t'(e') + (s'\partial_1)(e') \blacktriangleright' t'(e) + t'(e)t'(e').
\end{aligned}
$$

We used the second Peiffer-Whitehead axiom for $(\partial_2' \colon L' \to E', \blacktriangleright')$ in the final step; see (1). Finally (by using the axioms 2XM2, 2XM4 and 2XM5); see Definition 1.4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t'(r \blacktriangleright e) = t(r \blacktriangleright e) - (q\partial_1)(r \blacktriangleright e) = t(r \blacktriangleright e) - q(\partial_1(r \blacktriangleright e)) = t(r \blacktriangleright e) - q(r\partial_1(e)) \\
&= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright t(e) + (\partial_1' s)(r) \blacktriangleright t(e) + \{s(r), f_1(e)\} - \{f_1(e), s(r)\} - \{(s\partial_1)(e), s(r)\} \\
&\quad - f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(\partial_1(e)) + f_0(\partial_1(e)) \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(\partial_1(e)) + s(\partial_1(e)) \blacktriangleright' q(r) \\
&\quad + q(r)q(\partial_1(e)) \\
&= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright t(e) - f_0(r) \blacktriangleright (q\partial_1)(e) + (\partial_1' s)(r) \blacktriangleright t(e) - (\partial_1' s)(r) \blacktriangleright (q\partial_1)(e) \\
&\quad + \partial_1'(\partial_2' q(r)) \blacktriangleright t(e) - \partial_1'(\partial_2' q(r)) \blacktriangleright (q\partial_1)(e) + \{s(r), f_1(e)\} + f_1(e) \blacktriangleright' q(r) \\
&\quad - (\partial_1 f_1)(e) \blacktriangleright q(r) - \{f_1(e), s(r)\} - f_1(e) \blacktriangleright' q(r) - \{(s\partial_1)(e), s(r)\} \\
&\quad - (s\partial_1)(e) \blacktriangleright' q(r) - s(r) \blacktriangleright' (q\partial_1)(e) + (\partial_1' s)(r) \blacktriangleright (q\partial_1)(e) - (q\partial_1)(e)q(r) \\
&= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright t'(e) + (\partial_1' s')(r) \blacktriangleright t'(e) + \{s'(r), f_1(e)\} - \{f_1(e), s'(r)\} - \{(s'\partial_1)(e), s'(r)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is now an easy calculation to verify that we have $f \xrightarrow{(f,s',t')} g$. ■

2.1 Some algebraic properties of quadratic 2-derivations

Recall the definition of the algebra of 1-simplices in $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$, namely $\mathcal{A}_1 \doteq R \ltimes E$; see Subsection 1.3. Simple calculation prove that:

Lemma 2.3 *There exists an action of $\mathcal{A}_1$ on L, of the form ($r \in R, e \in E$ and $l \in L$):*

$$(r, e) \rhd l = r \blacktriangleright l + e \blacktriangleright' l.$$

By using the action above, we can build the semidirect product:

$$Q \doteq (R' \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E') \ltimes_{\rhd} L'. \tag{23}$$

Lemma 2.4 *By looking at the definition of Q, given a 2-crossed module homotopy $f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g$, where $f, g \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, quadratic 2-$(f, s, t)$-derivations are in one-to-one correspondence with algebra maps, of the form (here $r \in R$):*

$$\psi : r \in R \longmapsto \big(f_0(r), s(r), q(r)\big) \in (R' \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E') \ltimes_{\rhd} L'. \tag{24}$$

Therefore:

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is free up to order one, and B a chosen basis of R. A quadratic 2-(f,s,t)-derivation $q\colon R \to L'$ can be uniquely specified by its value on $B \subset R$. By this we mean that a set map $q^\star\colon B \to L'$ uniquely extends to a quadratic 2-(f,s,t)-derivation q, fitting into the diagram below:*



(25)

The following formulation of quadratic-2-derivations will also be essential later.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\mathcal{A}'_2 = (R' \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright} E') \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright\bullet} (E' \ltimes_{\blacktriangleright'} L')$ be the algebra of 2-simplices in $\mathcal{A}'$. Let $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be a 2-crossed module map. Let (s,t) be a quadratic f derivation. Let q be a quadratic (f,s,t)-2-derivation. We have an algebra homomorphism $\Omega\colon R \to A'_2$, which has the form below, for each $r \in R$:*

$$r \quad \overset{\Omega}{\longmapsto} \quad \big(f_0(r), s(r), (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r), -q(r)\big) \qquad =$$



(26)

***Proof*:** It is clear that $\Omega(r+r') = \Omega(r) + \Omega(r')$ and $\Omega(kr) = k\,\Omega(r)$. Put

$$(r, e, e', l)\,(r_2, e_2, e'_2, l_2) = (a, b, c, d).$$

Therefore we have $a = rr_2$, and also: $r \blacktriangleright e_2 + r_2 \blacktriangleright e + ee_2$. Also:

$$\begin{aligned} c &= ee'_2 + r \blacktriangleright e'_2 + e_2 e' + r_2 \blacktriangleright e' + e' e'_2 \\ d &= \partial_1(e) \blacktriangleright l_2 + r \blacktriangleright l_2 - \{e'_2, e\} + \partial_1(e_2) \blacktriangleright l + r_2 \blacktriangleright l - \{e', e_2\} \\ &\qquad + e' \blacktriangleright' l_2 + e'_2 \blacktriangleright' l + l l_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(r)\,\Omega(r') &= \big(f_0(r), s(r), (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r), -q(r)\big)\ \big(f_0(r'), s(r'), (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r'), -q(r')\big) \\ &= (f_0(r) f_0(r'), f_0(r) \blacktriangleright s(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright s(r) + s(r)s(r'), \\ &\quad s(r)(\partial'_2 \circ q)(r') + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r') + s(r')(\partial'_2 \circ q)(r) \\ &\qquad + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r) + (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r)(\partial'_2 \circ q)(r'), \\ &\quad \partial'_1(s(r)) \blacktriangleright -q(r') + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright -q(r') - \{(\partial'_2 \circ q)(r'), s(r)\} + \partial'_1(s(r')) \blacktriangleright -q(r) \\ &\qquad + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright -q(r) - \{(\partial'_2 \circ q)(r), s(r')\} + (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r) \blacktriangleright -q(r') \\ &\qquad + (\partial'_2 \circ q)(r') \blacktriangleright -q(r) + q(r)q(r')) \\ &= (f_0(rr'), s(rr'), \\ &\quad \partial'_2\,(f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r'))\,, \\ &\quad - \partial'_1(s(r)) \blacktriangleright q(r') - f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') - s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + \partial'_1(s(r)) \blacktriangleright q(r') \\ &\qquad - \partial'_1(s(r')) \blacktriangleright q(r) - f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) - s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + \partial'_1(s(r')) \blacktriangleright q(r) \\ &\qquad\quad - q(r)q(r') - q(r')q(r) + q(r)q(r')) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (f_0(rr'), s(rr'), \partial_2' (q(rr'))\,,\\
&- f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') - s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') - f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) - s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) - q(r)q(r'))\\
&= (f_0(rr'), s(rr'), (\partial_2' \circ q)(rr'), -q(rr')) = \Omega(rr').
\end{aligned}
$$

■

2.2 Vertical composition of 2-fold homotopies

In this subsection, we continue to fix two 2-crossed modules $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L', E', R', \partial_1', \partial_2')$. In this phase no freeness restriction is imposed on $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $f, g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ are homotopic 2-crossed module morphisms connected by the quadratic derivations (f, s, t), (f, s', t') and (f, s'', t''). Let:*

- *q be a quadratic (f, s, t)-2-derivation such that $(f, s, t) \overset{(f,s,t,q)}{\Longrightarrow} (f, s', t')$,*
- *q' be a quadratic (f, s', t')-2-derivation such that $(f, s', t') \overset{(f,s',t',q')}{\Longrightarrow} (f, s'', t'')$.*

Then the map $q \star q' : R \to L'$ such that:

$$(q \star q')(r) = q(r) + q'(r) \tag{27}$$

is a quadratic (f, s, t)-2-derivation connecting (f, s, t) to (f, s'', t''). This defines the vertical composition of quadratic derivations, which can be pictured as,



(28)

***Proof*:** This follows from the fact that $(L \to E, \blacktriangleright')$ is a crossed module:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(q \star q')(rr') = q(rr') + q'(rr')\\
&\quad = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r')\\
&\qquad + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q'(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q'(r) + s'(r) \blacktriangleright' q'(r') + s'(r') \blacktriangleright' q'(r) + q'(r)q'(r')\\
&\quad = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r')\\
&\qquad + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q'(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q'(r) + [s(r) + (\partial_2' \circ q)(r)] \blacktriangleright' q'(r')\\
&\qquad\quad + [s(r) + (\partial_2' \circ q)(r)] \blacktriangleright' q'(r) + q'(r)q'(r')\\
&\quad = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r')\\
&\qquad + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q'(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q'(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q'(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q'(r) + q'(r)q'(r')\\
&\qquad\quad + q(r)q'(r') + q'(r)q(r') \qquad (\because \text{XM2})\\
&\quad = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright (q \star q')(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright (q \star q')(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' (q \star q')(r')\\
&\qquad + s(r') \blacktriangleright' (q \star q')(r) + (q \star q')(r)(q \star q')(r'),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r, r' \in R$. An easy calculation now proves that $(f, s, t) \overset{(f,s,t,q)}{\Longrightarrow} (f, s'', t'')$. ■

The vertical composition of 2-fold homotopies is associative. Also note that the zero quadratic (f,s,t)-2-derivation defines an identity arrow for (f,s,t). Therefore, given two 2-crossed module maps $f,g\colon \mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$, we have a category $\mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}',f,g)$ with objects being the quadratic f-derivations (s,t) with $f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g$, the morphisms being the 2-fold homotopies connecting them.

By the following lemma, $\mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}',f,g)$ is a groupoid:

Lemma 2.8 *Given a quadratic (f,s,t)-2-derivation q with $(f,s,t)\xRightarrow{(f,s,t,q)}(f,s',t')$, then the map $\bar{q}\colon R\to L'$ such that $\bar{q}(r)=-q(r)$ is a quadratic-2-(f,s',t')-derivation which connects (f,s',t') to (f,s,t), clearly being the inverse of q, with respect to the vertical composition of 2-fold homotopies.*

***Proof*:** Let us prove that $\bar{q}$ is a derivation. This again is a consequence of the second Peiffer-Whitehead relation of the crossed module $(\partial'_2\colon L'\to E',\blacktriangleright')$. For all $r,r'\in R$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{q}(rr') &= -(q(rr')) = -[f_0(r)\blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r)\blacktriangleright' q(r')\\
&\quad + s(r')\blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r')]\\
&= -f_0(r)\blacktriangleright q(r') - f_0(r')\blacktriangleright q(r) - s(r)\blacktriangleright' q(r') - s(r')\blacktriangleright' q(r) - q(r)q(r')\\
&\quad + q(r)q(r') - q(r)q(r')\\
&= -f_0(r)\blacktriangleright q(r') - f_0(r')\blacktriangleright q(r) - s(r)\blacktriangleright' q(r') - s(r')\blacktriangleright' q(r) - q(r)q(r')\\
&\quad + (\partial'_2\circ q)(r)\blacktriangleright' q(r') - (\partial'_2\circ q)(r)\blacktriangleright' q(r')\\
&= -f_0(r)\blacktriangleright q(r') - f_0(r')\blacktriangleright q(r)\\
&\quad - (s(r)+(\partial'_2\circ q)(r))\blacktriangleright' q(r') - (s(r')+(\partial'_2\circ q)(r'))\blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r')\\
&= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright -q(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright -q(r) - s'(r)\blacktriangleright' q(r') - s'(r')\blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r')\\
&= f_0(r)\blacktriangleright \bar{q}(r') + f_0(r')\blacktriangleright \bar{q}(r) + s'(r)\blacktriangleright' \bar{q}(r') + s'(r')\blacktriangleright' \bar{q}(r) + \bar{q}(r)\bar{q}(r')
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\overline{q}$ is a quadratic (f,s',t')-2-derivation. The other assertions are immediate. ■

3 A 2-groupoid of 2-crossed module maps and their 1-fold and 2-fold homotopies

We now fix two 2-crossed modules $\mathcal{A}=(L,E,R,\partial_1,\partial_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}'=(L',E',R',\partial'_1,\partial'_2)$. However we now take $\mathcal{A}$ to be free up to order one, B being a chosen free basis of the algebra R. Recall the construction of the groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}')$ of 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$, and their homotopies; see Theorem 1.26 in Subsection 1.4.

3.1 Right whiskering of 2-fold homotopies

Let $f,g,h\colon\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ be 2-crossed module maps. Consider homotopies of the form:

$$f \xrightarrow{(f,s,t)} g, \qquad f \xrightarrow{(f,s',t')} g, \qquad g \xrightarrow{(g,u,v)} h.$$

Consider also a 2-fold homotopy $(f,s,t)\xRightarrow{(f,s,t,q)}(f,s',t')$. This fits inside the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \overset{(f,s,t)}{\Vert} & & \\
f & & (f,s,t,q)\Downarrow & & g \xrightarrow{(g,u,v)} h\ . \\
 & & \underset{(f,s',t')}{} & &
\end{array} \tag{29}$$

For defining the right whiskering of 2-fold homotopies by 2-crossed module (1-fold) homotopies, we must define a new quadratic 2-derivation $(q \circledcirc u)$ which connects $(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$ to $(f, s' \boxplus u, t' \boxplus v)$, therefore fitting inside the diagram:



(30)

Let $(q \circledcirc u)$ be the unique quadratic 2-$(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$-derivation (by using Remark 2.5) extending the function $q_{|B}$ (the restriction of q to B); see the diagram below:



(31)

By definition $(q \circledcirc u)(b) = q(b), \forall b \in B$.

Let us prove that $(q \circledcirc u)$ indeed connects $(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$ to $(f, s' \boxplus u, t' \boxplus v)$. We must check the conditions given in equation (22). Consider the map:

$$\zeta \colon b \in B \subset R \longmapsto \big(f_0(b), (s+u)(b), (\partial_2' \circ q)(b), -q(b)\big) \in \mathcal{A}_2'. \tag{32}$$

Geometrically, for a $b \in B$, the free basis of R, the element $\zeta(b)$ has the form:



(33)

Consider the unique algebra map $Y^{(s,s',u)} : R \to \mathcal{A}_2'$, extending ζ. By using lemmas 2.6 and 1.10 we can see that, geometrically:



(34)

Therefore, the homomorphism $Y^{(s,s')} \colon R \to \mathcal{A}_2'$ is of the form (recall Remark 2.6):

$$Y^{(s,s',u)}(r) = \big(f_0(r), (s \boxplus u)(b), \varrho(r), -(q \circledcirc u)(r)\big),$$

for some map $\varrho \colon R \to E'$. In particular, we can see that $\varrho(r) - \partial_2((q \circledcirc u)(r) = 0$, thus

$$\varrho(r) = \partial_2'\big((q \circledcirc u)(r)\big), \forall r \in R.$$

In particular, by equation (6), our homomorphism has the following form:

$$Y^{(s,s',u)}(r) = \big(f_0(r), (s \boxplus u)(r), \partial_2'((q \circledcirc u)(r)), -(q \circledcirc u)(r)\big).$$

Finally, by using the Lemma 2.6, we get the conclusion:

$$(s' \boxplus u)(r) = (s \boxplus u)(r) + (\partial_2' \circ (q \circledcirc u))(r), \tag{35}$$

which gives us the first equality of (22), in Theorem 2.2.

To finish the proof that $(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v) \xRightarrow{\big(f, s\boxplus u, t\boxplus v, q\circledcirc u\big)} (f, s' \boxplus u, t' \boxplus v)$, we need:

Lemma 3.1 *In the condition above we have:*

$$\partial_2'\big((q \circledcirc u)(r)\big) = \partial_2'\big(q(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u)}(r)\big). \tag{36}$$

***Proof*:** Combining $(s' \boxplus u)(r) = (s \boxplus u)(r) + (\partial_2' \circ (q \circledcirc u))(r)$ with equation (18), yields:

$$(s \boxplus u)(r) + (\partial_2' \circ (q \circledcirc s''))(r) = s(r) + u(r) - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r) + (\partial_2' \circ (q \circledcirc u))(r).$$

On the other hand we know:

$$\begin{aligned}(s' \boxplus u)(r) &= s'(r) + u(r) - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s',u)})(r)\\ &= s(r) + (\partial_2' \circ q)(r) + u(r) - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s',u)})(r).\end{aligned}$$

This gives us the stated formula. ■

We now provide a more explicit formula for the quadratic 2-derivation $(q \circledcirc u)$.

Theorem 3.2 *If q is a quadratic (f, s, t)-2-derivation connecting the homotopy (s, t) to (s', t') and (u, v) is a g-derivation, connecting g to h, all fitting in the diagram:*



(37)

then the map $q\hat{\circledcirc}u\colon R \to L'$, defined as:

$$(q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r) = q(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u)}(r) \tag{38}$$

defines a quadratic 2-$(f, s\boxplus u, t\boxplus v)$-derivation. In particular, given that $(q\circledcirc u)$ and $(q\hat{\circledcirc}u)$ coincide in B, a free basis of R, it thus follow that, for each $r \in R$:

$$(q \circledcirc u)(r) = q(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u)}(r). \tag{39}$$

***Proof*:** To prove this, we need to verify the condition (recall Definition 2.1):

$$\begin{aligned}(q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(rr') = f_0(r) \blacktriangleright (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r)\\ + (s \boxplus u)(r) \blacktriangleright' (q \circledcirc u)(r') + (s \boxplus u)(r') \blacktriangleright' (q \circledcirc u)(r)\\ + (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r)(q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r').\end{aligned}$$

If we expand the left-hand-side we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
(q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(rr') &= q(rr') + w^{(s,u)}(rr') - w^{(s',u)}(rr') \\
&= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + s(r) \blacktriangleright' q(r') + s(r') \blacktriangleright' q(r) + q(r)q(r') \\
&\quad + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright w^{(s,u)}(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright w^{(s,u)}(r) + u(r') \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,u)}(r) \\
&\quad + s(r') \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,u)}(r) + u(r) \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,u)}(r') + s(r) \blacktriangleright' w^{(s,u)}(r') \\
&\quad - \{u(r'), s(r)\} - \{u(r), s(r')\} - w^{(s,u)}(r)w^{(s,u)}(r') \\
&\quad - [f_0(r) \blacktriangleright w^{(s',u)}(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright w^{(s',u)}(r) + u(r') \blacktriangleright' w^{(s',u)}(r) \\
&\quad + s'(r') \blacktriangleright' w^{(s',u)}(r) + u(r) \blacktriangleright' w^{(s',u)}(r') + s'(r) \blacktriangleright' w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad - \{u(r'), s'(r)\} - \{u(r), s'(r')\} - w^{(s',u)}(r)w^{(s',u)}(r')].
\end{aligned}$$

If we expand the right-hand-side we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_0(r) \blacktriangleright (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r') + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright (q \circledcirc u)(r) + (s \boxplus u)(r) \blacktriangleright' (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r') \\
&\qquad + (s \boxplus u)(r') \blacktriangleright' (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r) + (q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r)(q\hat{\circledcirc}u)(r') \\
&= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright [q(r') + w^{(s,u)}(r') - w^{(s',u)}(r')] + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright [q(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u)}(r)] \\
&\quad + [s(r) + u(r) - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r)] \blacktriangleright' [q(r') + w^{(s,u)}(r') - w^{(s',u)}(r')] \\
&\quad + [s(r') + u(r') - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)}(r'))] \blacktriangleright' [q(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u)}(r)] \\
&\quad + [q(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u)}(r)][q(r') + w^{(s,u)}(r') - w^{(s',u)}(r')] \\
&= f_0(r) \blacktriangleright q(r') + f_0(r) \blacktriangleright w^{(s,u)}(r') - f_0(r) \blacktriangleright w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright q(r) + f_0(r') \blacktriangleright w^{(s,u)}(r) - f_0(r') \blacktriangleright w^{(s',u)}(r) \\
&\quad + s(r)q(r') + s(r)w^{(s,u)}(r') - s(r)w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad + u(r)q(r') + u(r)w^{(s,u)}(r') - u(r)w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r)q(r') - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r)w^{(s,u)}(r') \\
&\quad + (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r)w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad + s(r')q(r) + s(r')w^{(s,u)}(r) - s(r')w^{(s',u)}(r) \\
&\quad + u(r')q(r) + u(r')w^{(s,u)}(r) - u(r')w^{(s',u)}(r) \\
&\quad - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r')q(r) - (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r')w^{(s,u)}(r) \\
&\quad + (\partial_2' \circ w^{(s,u)})(r')w^{(s',u)}(r) \\
&\quad + q(r)q(r') + q(r')w^{(s,u)}(r') - q(r)w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad + w^{(s,u)}(r)q(r') + w^{(s,u)}(r)w^{(s,u)}(r') - w^{(s,u)}(r)w^{(s',u)}(r') \\
&\quad - w^{(s',u)}(r)q(r') - w^{(s',u)}(r)w^{(s,u)}(r') + w^{(s',u)}(r)w^{(s',u)}(r').
\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand-side and the right hand side coincide due to the second Peiffer-Whitehead relation for the crossed module ∂_2'. We switch from $s'(r)$ to $s(r) + (\partial_2' \circ q)(r)$ in all Peiffer lifings and then we use axiom 2XM5 of the definition of 2-crossed modules. ■

We are now ready to prove that:

Theorem 3.3 *Consider a diagram of 2-crossed modules maps $f, g, h \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, with $\mathcal{A}$ free up to*

order one, homotopies and 2-fold homotopies, fitting into the diagram:



The quadratic 2-$(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$-derivation $(q \circledcirc u)\colon R \to L'$ yields a 2-fold homotopy connecting $(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$ to $(f, s' \boxplus u, t' \boxplus v)$, thus fitting into the diagram:



(40)

***Proof*:** The first equality in (22) is already proven; see (35). The second means:

$$(t' \boxplus v)(e) = (t \boxplus v)(e) - \big((q \circledcirc u) \circ \partial_2'\big)(e), \tag{41}$$

for all $e \in E$. Let us prove it. Firstly we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(t' \boxplus v)(e) &= t'(e) + v(e) + (w^{(s',u)} \circ \partial_1)(e)\\ &= t(e) - (q \circ \partial_1)(e) + v(e) + (w^{(s',u)} \circ \partial_1)(e).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using the form (39) for $(q \circledcirc u)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(t \boxplus v)(e) - \big((q \circledcirc u) \circ \partial_1\big)(e) &= t(e) + t''(e) + (w^{(s,u)} \circ \partial_1)(e)\\ &\quad - (q(\partial_1(e)) + w^{(s',u)}(\partial_1(e)) - w^{(s,u)}(\partial_1(e))\\ &= t(e) + v(e) - \big(q(\partial_1(e)) + w^{(s',u)}(\partial_1(e))\big).\end{aligned}$$

This gives us the second equality in equation (22). ■

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be 2-crossed modules, with $\mathcal{A}$ free up to order one, with a chosen basis. Consider the groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_1$ given by the disjoint union of all groupoids of 2-fold homotopies between fixed 2-crossed module maps. In other words*

$$\mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_1 = \bigsqcup_{f,g\colon A \to \mathcal{A}'} \mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', f, g).$$

The right whiskering $(q, u) \in \mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_1 \times \mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}') \mapsto q \circledcirc u$ yields a (right) action of the groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ of 2-crossed modules maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ and their homotopies on the groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_1$, by groupoid maps.

***Proof*:** What we need to prove is that, given quadratic 2-derivations q and q' and quadratic derivations u and m, with the correct sources and targets, then:

$$\begin{aligned}(q + q') \circledcirc u &= (q \circledcirc u) + (q' \circledcirc u),\\ q \circledcirc (u \boxplus m) &= (q \circledcirc u) \circledcirc m.\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, suppose we have homotopies and 2-fold homotopies, fitting in the diagram:



(42)

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(q+q')\circledcirc u &= q+q'+w^{(s,u)}-w^{(s'',u)}\\ &= (q+w^{(s,u)}-w^{(s',u)})+(q'+w^{(s',u)}-w^{(s'',u)})\\ &= (q\circledcirc u)+(q'\circledcirc u),\end{aligned}$$

thus right whiskering is functorial with respect to vertical composition of 2-derivations.

Suppose that we have homotopies and 2-fold homotopies, as in the diagram:



(43)

Let us prove that $q\circledcirc(u\boxplus m)=(q\circledcirc u)\circledcirc m$. The left-hand-side is:

$$q\circledcirc(u\boxplus m)=q+w^{(s,u\boxplus m)}-w^{(s',u\boxplus m)},$$

whereas the right-hand-side is:

$$\begin{aligned}(q\circledcirc u)\circledcirc m &= (q\circledcirc u)+w^{(s\boxplus u,m)}-w^{(s'\boxplus u,m)}\\ &= q+w^{(s,u)}-w^{(s',u)}+w^{(s\boxplus u,m)}-w^{(s'\boxplus u,m)}.\end{aligned}$$

By using theorem 1.25, these two coincide. ■

3.2 Left whiskering of 2-fold homotopies by homotopies

We now deal with left-whiskering. Let $f,g,h\colon\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ be 2-crossed module morphisms. Consider also homotopies $f\xrightarrow{(f,s,t)}g$, $g\xrightarrow{(g,u,v)}h$ and $g\xrightarrow{(g,u',v')}h$. Let also (g,u,v,q') be a 2-fold homotopy fitting into the diagram:



(44)

For defining the pertinent left whiskering, we must define a quadratic $(f,s\boxplus u,t\boxplus v)$-2-derivation connecting $(f,s\boxplus u,t\boxplus v)$ to $(f,s\boxplus u',t\boxplus v')$. As before, let $(s\circledcirc q')$ be the unique quadratic

2-$(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$-derivation (see using Remark 2.5) extending the function $q'_{|B}$ inside the diagram below (therefore $(s \circledcirc q')(b) = q'(b)$ for all $b \in B$):



(45)

The proof of the following result proceeds exactly as in the case of right-whiskering.

Theorem 3.5 *The quadratic 2-$(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$-derivation $(s \circledcirc q')$ has the form*

$$(s \circledcirc q')(r) = q'(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s,u')}(r),$$

and $(s \circledcirc q')$ is a 2-fold homotopy connecting $(f, s \boxplus u, t \boxplus v)$ to $(f, s \boxplus u', t \boxplus v')$, therefore fitting into the diagram below:



(46)

Moreover, left whiskering defines a left action of the groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ *of crossed module maps and their homotopies on the groupoid* $\mathrm{HOM}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_1$*, by groupoid maps.*

Theorem 3.6 *Right whiskering and left whiskering can be interchanged. Namely, whenever all operations make sense we have:*

$$(s \circledcirc q) \circledcirc s' = s \circledcirc (q \circledcirc s'). \tag{47}$$

This tells us that diagrams of the following type can be unambiguously evaluated:



(48)

***Proof*:** If we use the property of w given in Theorem 1.25, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
(s \circledcirc q) \circledcirc s' &= \left(q + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s,u')}\right) \circledcirc s' \\
&= q + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s,u')} + w^{(s\boxplus u, s')} - w^{(s\boxplus u', s')} \\
&= q + w^{(u,s')} - w^{(u',s')} + w^{(s, u\boxplus s')} - w^{(s, u'\boxplus s')} \\
&= s \circledcirc \left(q + w^{(u,s')} - w^{(u',s')}\right) = s \circledcirc (q \circledcirc s').
\end{aligned}$$

■

Thus, given two 2-crossed module $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$, with $\mathcal{A}$ free up to order one, and with a chosen basis, we have a sesquigroupoid [23], denoted by $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_2$, whose objects are 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, the 1-morphisms are their homotopies, whereas 2-morphisms are the 2-fold homotopies between 2-crossed module homotopies.

3.3 The interchange law

Consider 2-crossed modules $\mathcal{A} = (L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (L', E', R', \partial_1', \partial_2')$, with $\mathcal{A}$ free up to order one, with a chosen basis of R. For proving that the sesquigroupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_2$ is a 2-groupoid, we must show that it satisfies the interchange law.

Theorem 3.7 *Suppose that we are given 2-crossed module maps $f, g, h\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, as well as homotopies and 2-fold homotopies, all fitting into the diagram:*



(49)

then the interchange law, below, holds:

$$(q \circledcirc u) \star (s' \circledcirc q') = (s \circledcirc q') \star (q \circledcirc u'). \tag{50}$$

Both sides of the previous equation are equal to $q' + q' + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s',u')}$. Therefore, we can define the horizontal composition of 2-fold homotopies as being:



(51)

where, by definition:

$$(q \otimes q')(r) = q(r) + q'(r) + w^{(s,u)}(r) - w^{(s',u')}(r) \tag{52}$$

In particular we have a 2-groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_2$ of 2-crossed module maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, their homotopies, and 2-fold homotopies between 2-crossed module homotopies.

***Proof*:** We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(q \circledcirc u) \star (s' \circledcirc q') &= \left(q + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s',u)}\right) \star \left(q' + w^{(s',u)} - w^{(s',u')}\right) \\
&= q + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s',u)} + q' + w^{(s',u)} - w^{(s',u')} \\
&= q + w^{(s,u)} + q' - w^{(s',u')} \\
&= q' + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s,u')} + q + w^{(s,u')} - w^{(s',u')} \\
&= \left(q' + w^{(s,u)} - w^{(s,u')}\right) \star \left(q + w^{(s,u')} - w^{(s',u')}\right) \\
&= (s \circledcirc q') \star (q \circledcirc u').
\end{aligned}$$

The rest follows immediately. ■

We note that all composition operations in the 2-groupoid $\mathrm{HOM}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')_2$ which involve 1-fold homotopies explicitly depend on the chosen free basis of R.

References

[1] İ. Akça, K. Emir, and J. Faria Martins. Pointed homotopy of 2-crossed module maps on commutative algebras. *arxiv.org/abs/1411.6931, to appear in Homology Homotopy Appl. with the manuscript number: 347.*

[2] Z. Arvasi. Crossed squares and 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 3:160–181, 1997.

[3] Z. Arvasi and T. Porter. Simplicial and crossed resolutions of commutative algebras. *J. Algebra*, 181(2):426–448, art. no. 0128, 1996.

[4] Z. Arvasi and T. Porter. Higher dimensional Peiffer elements in simplicial commutative algebras. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 3:1–23, 1997.

[5] Z. Arvasi and T. Porter. Freeness conditions for 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras. *Appl. Categ. Struct.*, 6(4):455–471, 1998.

[6] H.J. Baues. *Combinatorial homotopy and 4-dimensional complexes.* Berlin etc.: Walter de Gruyter, 1991.

[7] R. Brown and N.D. Gilbert. Algebraic models of 3-types and automorphism structures for crossed modules. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 59(1):51–73, 1989.

[8] R. Brown and P.J. Higgins. Tensor products and homotopies for ω-groupoids and crossed complexes. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 47:1–33, 1987.

[9] R. Brown, P.J. Higgins, and R. Sivera. *Nonabelian algebraic topology. Filtered spaces, crossed complexes, cubical homotopy groupoids. With contributions by Christopher D. Wensley and Sergei V. Soloviev.* Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2011.

[10] J.G. Cabello and A.R. Garzón. Closed model structures for algebraic models of n-types. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 103(3):287–302, 1995.

[11] D. Conduché. Modules croisés généralisés de longueur 2. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 34:155–178, 1984.

[12] S. E. Crans. A tensor product for Gray-categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 5:12–69, 1999.

[13] J.L. Doncel, A.R. Grandjeán, and M.J. Vale. On the homology of commutative algebras. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 79(2):131 – 157, 1992.

[14] C. Elvira-Donazar and L.J. Hernandez-Paricio. Closed model categories for the n-type of spaces and simplicial sets. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 118:93–103, 7 1995.

[15] J. Faria Martins. The fundamental 2-crossed complex of a reduced CW-complex. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 13(2):129–157, 2011.

[16] B. Gohla. Mapping spaces of Gray-categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 29:100–187, 2014.

[17] B. Gohla and J. Faria Martins. Pointed homotopy and pointed lax homotopy of 2-crossed module maps. *Adv. Math.*, 248:986–1049, 2013.

[18] A.R Grandjeán and M.J. Vale. *2-módulos cruzados en la cohomología de André-Quillen.* Memorias de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales de Madrid: Serie de ciencias exactas. Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales de Madrid, 1986.

[19] S. MacLane and J.H.C. Whitehead. On the 3-type of a complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 36:41–48, 1950.

[20] I. Moerdijk and J.A. Svensson. Algebraic classification of equivariant homotopy 2-types, i. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 89(1 - 2):187 – 216, 1993.

[21] T. Porter. Homology of commutative algebras and an invariant of Simis and Vasconcelos. *J. Algebra*, 99:458–465, 1986.

[22] D.G. Quillen. *Homotopical Algebra*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1967.

[23] R. Street. Categorical structures. volume 1 of *Handbook of Algebra*, pages 529 – 577. North-Holland, 1996.

[24] J.H.C. Whitehead. On adding relations to homotopy groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 1941.

[25] J.H.C. Whitehead. Note on a previous paper entitled "On adding relations to homotopy groups". *Ann. of Math. (2)* , 47:806–810, 1946.